THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

–

WRIT PETITION No.24567 of 2005

–

DATED: 27-01-2006

Between:

Om Prakash Sidh . . .Petitioner

And

Union of India, Hyderabad and another . . .Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

–

–

WRIT PETITION No.24567 of 2005

–

O R D E R:

The petitioner responded to a notification, dated 30-07-2005, issued by the Commandant, Central Reserve Police Force (C.R.P.F.), Chandrayanagutta, Hyderabad, second respondent herein, inviting applications for recruitment of Constables to the Force. The consideration was confined only to the candidates belonging to the States of Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala, Tamilnadu and Union Territories of Pondicherry, Lakshadweep and Andaman and Nicobar Islands. The candidates were required to file domicile certificate in proof of their being residents of the States/Union Territories referred to above.

The petitioner states that though he was born in the State of Rajasthan, he became a permanent resident of State of Andhra Pradesh. He submitted his application, appeared in the written test and secured pass marks. At a later stage, the respondents doubted the domiciliation of the petitioner and refused to consider his case. The petitioner challenges the action of the respondents.

The petitioner filed various documents including the extract of household supply card, and the Community, Nativity and Date of Birth certificate said to have been issued by the Mandal Revenue Officer, Secunderabad.

On behalf of the respondents, detailed counter-affidavit was filed together with supporting documents. It is stated that the petitioner is not a native or domicile of the State of Andhra Pradesh and that the Nativity Certificate produced by

him is a forged one. Several other contentions were also urged.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

The only dispute in this writ petition is as to whether the petitioner is a domicile of the State of Andhra Pradesh, as claimed by him. In all other respects, he was found fit to be appointed as a Constable in C.R.P.F. The petitioner filed a copy of the Community, Nativity and Date of Birth Certificate said to have been issued by the Mandal Revenue Officer, Secunderabad. It does not contain any date of issue. The entries made thereon are shabby and cannot be expected to have been made by an Officer of the rank of the Mandal Revenue Officer.

Along with the counter-affidavit, the respondents filed a letter, dated 24-12-2005, addressed by the Mandal Revenue Officer, Secunderabad, in response to a letter, dated 21-12-2005, addressed by the respondents. It was categorically stated that he never issued the Nativity certificate to the petitioner and the copy of the certificate relied upon by the petitioner discloses that it is a clear case of forgery. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not able to contradict the statement. Therefore, this Court does not find any basis to grant any relief to the petitioner.

The writ petition is accordingly, dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

(L.NARASIMHA REDDY, J)

27th JANUARY, 2006.

kvni